

# GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

'Kamat Towers', Seventh Floor, Patto, Panaji – Goa

---

**Appeal No. 144/2015**

Shri Gajanan N. Padloskar  
H.No. 602/B, Dadachiwadi,  
Dhargal, Pernem-Goa.

..... Appellant

**V/s.**

1. Public Information Officer  
Dy. Superintendent of Police,  
Crime Branch, Ribandar-Goa.

..... Respondents

**CORAM:**

**Smt. Pratima K. Vernekar**, State Information Commissioner

**Filed on: 20/11/2015**

**Decided on: 1/08/2017**

## **ORDER**

1. The present second appeal came to be filed by the Appellant Shri Gajanan Padloskar on 20/11/2015 thereby seeking prayer for directions to the respondent PIO for furnishing the required information free of cost and for invoking penal provision.
2. The facts in brief leading to the present appeal are that the appellant herein by his application dated 27/8/2015 sought information from Respondent No. 1 PIO, Deputy Superintendent of Police, crime branch, Ribandar Goa with regards to the complaint dated 8/5/2015 filed against him by one Supriya Naik with the women cell at the Panajim Police Station . The said information was sought u/s 6(1) of the RTI Act .
3. The said application was responded by respondent PIO on 26/09/2015 thereby rejecting the information u/s 8(1)(g) of the Right to Information Act, 2005.
4. Being not satisfied with the above reply given by the PIO, the appellant preferred first appeal before the Superintendent of Police Crime Branch, Ribandar -Goa on 29/9/2015 being first appellate

authority and the first appellate authority by an order dismissed the said appeal.

5. Being aggrieved by action of the Respondent, the present second appeal came to be filed before this commission u/s 19(3) of the Right to Information Act.
6. In pursuant to the notice of this commission appellant appeared in person . Respondent PIO was represented by PSI Reema Naik, filed say on behalf of respondent PIO on 1/8/2017. The copy of the same was furnished to the appellant.
7. Appellant then submitted that he had amicably settled the said matter (criminal case), has married to complainant of the said case. On said ground he showed his desire to withdraw the present appeal proceedings. Accordingly he endorsed his say on the last page of memo of appeal .
8. In view of his submission and endorsement made by him I am of the opinion that there is no any reason to proceed with the case and as such the relief sought by the appellant is hereby granted.

The appeal disposed as withdrawn. Proceedings stands closed.

Notify the parties.

Authenticated copies of the Order should be given to the parties free of cost.

Aggrieved party if any may move against this order by way of a Writ Petition as no further Appeal is provided against this order under the Right to Information Act 2005.

Pronounced in the open court.

Sd/-

**(Ms. Pratima K. Vernekar)**  
State Information Commissioner  
Goa State Information Commission,  
Panaji-Goa

